Ending the Bay Area Housing Crisis
A Pathway for CASA

The Bay Area is in a moment of extreme housing crisis, and we have the opportunity to take bold steps that match the scale of this crisis—or fail if we do not. High housing costs and low wages are driving low-income communities and residents of color from their homes throughout the Bay Area; more than half of our residents have considered moving out of the region because of housing costs.\(^{ii}\)

This crisis hits some neighborhoods, low-income families, people of color, LGBTQ+ youth, seniors on fixed incomes, and other communities especially hard, creating a new era of regional racial resegregation and impeding fair housing.\(^{iii}\) Displacement separates people from their jobs and schools, forces low-income transit riders to switch to polluting cars, adds to congestion and impossible commutes, contributes to homelessness, creates health problems, and destroys community networks.

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission’s recently adopted Plan Bay Area 2040 is projected to exacerbate this situation, exposing approximately 107,000 additional households to displacement risk.\(^{iv}\) It is up to CASA and strategies included in Plan Bay Area’s Action Plan to stem this tide.

MTC’s “fix it first approach” provides a model for how we should approach housing—prioritize investments that address immediate concerns by protecting current residents, and then invest in future needs by preserving existing housing and producing new affordable housing.

Stories of Displacement

“I’ve seen many families receive evictions and have to move to places where they don’t know. This is especially impacting seniors and children. Our children are being uprooted and having to move from schools and leave their friends behind.” - Reyna Gonzalez, a leader with Faith in Action Bay Area whose son and young granddaughters were forced to leave their community due to rising housing costs (2016 MTC/ABAG Regional Forum on Housing and Displacement).

"We know the history of segregation in our country and we see it now another cycle of segregation, moving people of color, particularly blacks, out of the city." - Theola Polk, a member leader with East Bay Housing Organizations who moved to Oakland to escape segregation in Arkansas (2016 MTC/ABAG Regional Forum on Housing and Displacement).
Solutions That Keep People in Their Homes and Plan for The Future

CASA presents an opportunity to create a new story for the Bay Area, a future where our region is characterized by equity, diversity and opportunity, and stable and affordable housing is a fundamental right. To do this, CASA must develop actionable political consensus around “the 3 Ps”—Tenant Protections, Housing Preservation, and Affordable Housing Production. CASA should formally adopt, and pursue, the following goals:

First, Protect People from Displacement

Committing to strong tenant protections before moving on to other strategies is critical to stop the hemorrhaging of our communities and stem the tide of displaced people and families.

Protection Goal: Protect more than 300,000 low-income renter households

How? $400 million/year and adoption of incentives and requirements.

Next, Preserve and Produce Affordable Housing

Preservation and production are necessary strategies but take a long time to implement. We need to stop displacement of families and communities now.

Preservation Goal: Take 66,500 homes occupied by and affordable to low-income renters off the speculative market.

How? $500 million/year for 10 years and adoption of incentives and requirements.

Production Goal: Meet the region’s need for 13,000 new affordable homes per year

How? $1.4 billion per year and adoption of incentives and requirements.
We Have the Tools to Keep People in their Homes

*Policies to help protect 800,000 low-income renters at-risk of displacement*

- **Adopt universal rent control and just cause protections without vacancy decontrol:** Rent stabilization prevents mass displacement by helping tenants stay and invest in their communities\(^vi\). While nearly half of Bay Area neighborhoods are affected by gentrification, displacement, and exclusion, or at risk of these occurring, only nine Bay Area cities are covered by rent control/rent stabilization laws.\(^xii\)

- **Repeal Costa-Hawkins:** Costa-Hawkins severely undermines the ability of local jurisdictions to protect their residents by limiting rent control to multi-family buildings and buildings built before 1995, and allowing rents to skyrocket whenever tenants move (vacancy de-control).

- **Adopt and fund right to free legal counsel for all low-income tenants facing eviction:** Many low-income tenants lose their homes simply because they cannot afford the cost of legal counsel. Investing in legal counsel has been shown to save money by keeping families from homelessness.\(^xiii\)

- **Adopt universal relocation and rental assistance:** Relocation expenses, health emergencies, and other unexpected expenses sometimes make it impossible for many families to make rent.

- **Fund, implement, enforce, and educate tenants about new protections:** Tenant protection policies need strong implementation, enforcement, and outreach efforts in order to be effective—especially in communities that may not speak English, or among people who face deportation, discrimination, and other barriers.

- **Condition transportation and infrastructure funding to localities on adoption and implementation of strong tenant protection policies:** MTC/ABAG have already created a successful program using transportation dollars to incentivize housing performance and have committed to exploring other opportunities in the Plan Bay Area Action Plan.
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